Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Judith Warren 1121 Angela Drive Cedar Hill, , TX 75104-3003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Yost 24125 Drake Rd. Farmington, MI 48335-3108

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter. this should be delayed for one year s that we the NAR can institute teh required compliance procedures.

Thank You Ernie Manzo

Sincerely,

Ernest Manzo 2110 Windom Ct. BelAir, MD 21015-6238

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AllG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

If you make the act of doing business so burdensome by unnecessary rules and regulations, our industry will be damaged. We are in the business to facilitate and consel, not make it more difficult. This industry has been holding the economy up. Don't knock it down!

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Henry 30447 Palomar Vista Drive Valley Center, CA 92082-4544

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Zeynep Tolu 123 S. San Mateo Drive San Mateo, CA 94401-3804

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Rob Bowman VP Sales and Leasing 80 Main ST West Orange, NJ 07052-5460

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Bevis 7807 Suffolk Court Alexandria, VA 22315-4029

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Pamela Houston Mrs. 145 Ridge Drive Montville, NJ 07045-9471

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Michael Kenny 176 Roger Williams Ave. Highland Park, IL 60035-4743

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Michael Kamal 1419 Houston Dr Swartz Creek, MI 48473-9712

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Cathy Maxfield 3572 E. Kings Hill Circle Salt Lake City, UT 84121-6009



Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Patsy Haggadone 901 Country Club Avenue York, NE 68467-4035



Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Christine Arnesen 96 Makena Alanui, Apt. C-105 Kihei, HI

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Sanford 4118 Snapfinger Wds. Dr Decatur, GA 30035

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter. It is difficult enough to maintain a strong relationship with clients, prospects, shere of influence and our associates without the FCC tying our hands behind our back impeding the process.

Once again, thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter. I sincerely hope you will act promptly to stay the effective date of this critical matter.

Sincerely,

Patricia Dybas 1491 W. Schaumburg Rd Schaumburg, IL 60194-4051 RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

FCC - MAIL BOOM

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Donna Dowe 1966 Lakeshore Rd Grafton, WI 53024-9743

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA TRICE 646 CHESTERFIELD CIR. CLARKSVILLE, TN 37043-5224

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

I believe this letter speaks for itself and myself as a realtor and businessperson.

Sincerely,

Connie Mabry 2276 S. Second Street Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250-6149

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Donna Lewis 10451 Palmeras Dr Ste 203 Sun City, AZ 85373-2013

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day—to—day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Stephen Lipson 409 Miller Road Coral Gables, FL 33146-2849

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2003

Aug 07 2003 21:56:03 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Linda Chambers 11 Hawthorn Hill Road Louisville, KY 40204-2491 August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

MATT DICKINSON 625 HIGHLAND AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08002-2833 Aug 07 2003 21:56:58 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Kris Grodahl 7550 France Ave So. Edina, MN 55435-5624

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

John Bedford 1473 Ventura Drive Pittsburg, CA 94565-6426 August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Jacki Shafer 2800 Bittersweet Lane Lagrange, KY 40031-9324

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Susan Simanton 2275 Westminster Terrace Oviedo, FL 32765-7509 Aug 07 2003 21:59:46 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Faosimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via faosimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

John Hammar 660 W. Huntington Dr Arcadia, CA 91007-3424

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Cherri Risher 16012 Braesgate Dr Austin, TX 78717-4828 August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Singerely,

Jay Colman 11924 Forest Hill Blvd #4 Wellington, FL 33414-6928 Aug 07 2003 21:24:44 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day—to—day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

maria ling 802 sea chase dr. redwood city, CA 94065-1776